

MSc AISD - INDIVIDUAL WORK (50%)

Alan Dix & Keith Cheverst

For your individual work you are to perform some partial implementation, evaluation and broader critique of the systems designed in your groups.

This will be evaluated primarily through a written report, but as part of this you will need to submit some supporting materials from the work you have done (e.g. code).

The deadline for this coursework is Fri 3pm, Week 8.

THINGS TO DO

- (1) build a prototype implementation of the designed system or a part of it.

An example of the kind of thing we are thinking of can be found at:

<http://www.hcibook.com/alan/teaching/MSchCI/alarm-demo/alarm.html>

Notice the way the code is structured (a struggle in places) to organise the code into sections that correspond roughly to Seeheim?? or MVC paradigms.

The example is in JavaScript, but you can use anything you can demo so long as it has some real code (not just hyperlinks). Java with AWT/SWING, JavaScript, VB, ... Director or Shockwave would be fine if you use these.

If you like the group could decide to prototype different parts of the system so that you end up with a prototype that covers more of the whole system, or you can work independently. If you do decide to work more as a group you must have parts that are individual and you must identify them in the report and in the code.

Note you will not be assessed on the quality of this prototype more on the way in which you describe the way you have decided on this prototype (e.g. process rather than end-product).

- (2a) perform an 'expert' evaluation of the design using either heuristic evaluation or cognitive walkthrough (see chapter 9, section 9.3).

You should document the evaluation systematically (probably tabular) and include this as an appendix to your report. This may be of a part of your system, as a detailed evaluation of the entire system is likely to be too voluminous. However, this does not need to be the same part as you choose to prototype. We are interested in the detail and quality of your evaluation not volume. As a guide if you find your systematic evaluation is more than say 4 pages of tables, you have probably done enough ... but in order to find interesting things do choose parts of your system that are different from one another. Also be careful not to simply say "everything is OK" - easy to do as it is a system you have been designing!

- (2a) Briefly discuss potential barriers to adoption for your system and possible ways you might address this issue. You do not need detailed designs of features, just an indication of the kinds of potential ideas on how to address the issues).

- (3) write a report!

based on the above and the groupwork ... see below ...

THE REPORT

The report should contain the following sections (approx sizes in 'standard' pages of text):

- (i) introduction (half to 1 page)
short reminder of your group design, plus introduction to rest of report
- (ii) prototype implementation (1 or 2 pages)
discuss the structure of this and any issues you had whilst coding this, make use of the models and architectures discussed on "Day 1" to help you discuss your work. Explain the rationale of the proposed architecture, possibly after exploring other options in the design space. Include an appendix with any code you want to refer to in this section.
- (iii) Evaluation. **Part a)** Results of your 'expert' evaluation of the design using either heuristic evaluation or cognitive walkthrough (should be 2-3 pages), and, **Part b)** a discussion of how you would evaluate/study the use of the system if fully deployed (1 or 2 pages)
discuss issues that you might wish to uncover in such a study and how the study might be carried out. Also describe any technical features that could be developed in order to specifically support your evaluation/study. Argue what you think would be suitable timescales to carry out the study.
- (iv) critique of use of notations (1 or 2 pages)
in your groupwork you used various notations and methods. Discuss some interesting points from this, either things that arose during the group exercise (e.g. "when we did the HTA we suddenly noticed that X wouldn't work when doing more complex tasks") or may be things that you have noticed yourself after (e.g. "table Y shows the state model being played alongside our scenario" , "at step 7 the state model doesn't do what we intended").
- (v) summary (half to 1 page)
highlight most interesting issues and problems, including anything not covered by above such as lessons you learned, how can the system be extended, what could have been done differently.
- (v) references
use a standard style (e.g. Harvard) – see your research methods self-study materials.

Example Mark Sheet Used for MSc Individual Component

MSc HCI Module – Individual marks

name / number: --name--

group: --group number--

- | | | |
|-------|---|-----|
| (i) | Introduction/Summary | A–E |
| | -- brief comments -- | |
| (ii) | Prototype implementation | A–E |
| | -- brief comments -- | |
| (iii) | Results and Discussion of Evaluation/Use Study | A–E |
| | -- brief comments -- | |
| (iv) | Critique of use of notations | A–E |
| | -- brief comments -- | |
| (v) | Appropriate use of references | A–E |
| | -- brief comments -- | |